

Merchant & Gould

An Intellectual Property Law Firm

3200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2215
USA
Tel (612) 332-5300
Fax (612) 332-9081
www.merchant-gould.com

A Professional Corporation

Fax Transmission | July 16, 2002

TO: Commissioner for
Patents
Attn: Examiner N. Parekh
Patent Examining Corps
Facsimile Center
Washington, D.C. 20231

FROM: Bryan A. Wong

OUR REF: 08688.0128US01
TELEPHONE: (612) 332-5300

FAX COPY RECEIVED

Total pages, including cover letter: 3

PTO FAX NUMBER 703.872.9318

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at (612) 332-5300, or fax us at (612) 332-9081.

Title of Document Transmitted:
Applicant: Ming-Tung Shen
Serial No.: 09/407204
Filed: 09/28/1999
Group Art Unit: 2811
Our Ref. No.: 08688.0128US01

Response Under 37 C.F.R. 1.116

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2725. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate.

By: Bryan A. Wong
Name: Bryan A. Wong
Reg. No.: 50,836

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Ming-Tung Shen
Signature

July 16, 2002
Date

S/N 09/407204

PATENTIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	SHEN	Examiner:	N. Parekh
Serial No.:	09/407204	Group Art Unit:	2811
Filed:	September 28, 1999	Docket No.:	08688.0123US01
Title:	SEMICONDUCTOR CHIP MODULE		

17

Response
FJONES

7-17-02

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.6(d):

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 16, 2002.

By: 

Name: Terry Lee Folk

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

FAX COPY RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

JUL 16 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 11, 2002, reconsideration of the above referenced patent application is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Remarks

Claims 1-6 and 19-21 are pending, and were examined. No amendment is made to the application. No new matter has been added. Applicant appreciates the Examiner granting the telephone interview on July 15, 2002 with Applicant's representative Bryan A. Wong.

Claims 1-4, 6, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yew et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,137,164) in view of Panchou et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,040,630) and/or Clayton (U.S. Patent No. 5,731,633) and further in view of Bertin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,977,640).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yew et al. (above) in view of Panchou et al. (above) and/or Clayton (above) and further in view of Tanioka (U.S. Patent No. 5,784,264) and Egawa (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,215).